

Stephen F. English, OSB #730843 E-mail: steve.english@bullivant.com David A. Ernst, OSB #851967 E-mail: dave.ernst@bullivant.com Scott Brooksby, OSB #950562

E-mail: scott.brooksby@bullivant.com BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC

300 Pioneer Tower 888 SW Fifth Avenue Portland, Oregon 97204-2089

Telephone: 503.228.6351 Facsimile: 503.295.0915

Attorneys for Corporation Of The Presiding Bishop Of The Church Of Jesus Christ Of Latter-day Saints, Corporation Of The President Of The Church Of Jesus Christ Of

President Of The Church Of Jesus Christ Of

Latter-day Saints and Successors

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF OREGON

CV'07 - 1499 PK

JACK DOE 1, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; JACK DOE 2, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; JACK DOE 3, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; JACK DOE 4, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; JACK DOE 5, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; and JACK DOE 6, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS, a foreign corporation sole registered to do business in the State of Oregon; CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS AND Civil No.:

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION

Multnomah County Circuit Court Case No. 0710-11294

Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

888 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 300 Portland, Oregon 97204-2089 Telephone: 503.228.6351 NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION
Page 1

SUCCESSORS, a foreign corporation sole registered to do business in the State of Oregon; THE BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, a congressionally chartered corporation, authorized to do business in Oregon; and CASCADE PACIFIC COUNCIL, BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, an Oregon non-profit corporation,

Defendants.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1441 and 1446, defendants Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and Corporation of the President of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and Successors (hereinafter "defendants") hereby remove to this Court the state court action described below:

- 1. On October 3, 2007, plaintiffs filed an action in the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Multnomah, styled and captioned exactly as above, as Case No. 0710-11294. The Complaint was delivered to counsel for Defendants that same day. Defendants have not yet been served with the Complaint.
- 2. No further proceedings have been had and fewer than thirty (30) days have elapsed since this action became removable to this Court. (28 USC § 1446(b).)
- 3. This suit is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 USC §§ 1331, and thus is one which may be removed to this Court by defendants pursuant to the provisions of 28 USC § 1441(b).
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 USC § 1331 because plaintiff's right to relief under at least some of his state-law claims "requires resolution of a substantial question of federal law." *City of Chicago v. International College of Surgeons*, 522 US 156 (1997). Resolution of such claims turns on whether the preemptive effect of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution renders those claims

Bullivant|Houser|Bailey PC

non-justiciable in any civil court. The constitutional issues arise on the face of plaintiff's allegations. As to those claims, the First Amendment is not merely a defense, but, in fact, a complete constitutional preemption of the claims. Accordingly, such claims arise under the Constitution of the United States. *See* 28 USC § 1441(b).

5. Defendants The Boy Scouts of America and Cascade Pacific Council, Boy Scouts of America, join in and/or consent to this removal, having been served less than 30 days since this action became removable to this court.

WHEREFORE, defendants give notice that this action, now pending in the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the County of Multnomah as Case No. 0710-11294, is hereby removed from that court to this Court.

DATED this 9th day of October, 2007.

BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC

RY

Stephen F. English

OSB #730843

David A. Ernst OSB #851967

Scott Brooksby

OSB #950562

Telephone: 503.228.6351

Attorneys for Corporation Of The Presiding Bishop

Of The Church Of Jesus Christ Of Latter-day

Saints, Corporation Of The President Of The Church Of Jesus Christ Of Latter-day Saints and Successors

1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF T	THE STATE OF OREGON
5	FOR MULTNOMA	
6	JACK DOE 1, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; JACK DOE 2, an individual	Case No. 0710 - 11294
7	proceeding under a fictitious name; JACK DOE 3, an individual proceeding under a))) COMPLAINT
8	fictitious name; JACK DOE 4, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; JACK DOE	(Sexual Abuse of a Child/ <i>Respondeat</i>) Superior, Intentional Infliction of
9	5, an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; and JACK DOE 6, an individual	Emotional Distress/Respondeat Superior, Negligence)
10	proceeding under a fictitious name,))
11	Plaintiffs,	
12	v.	
13	CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDING BISHOP OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS)))
14	CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS, a foreign corporation sole registered to do	
15	business in the State of Oregon; CORPORATION OF THE PRESIDENT OF)))
16	THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS AND)
17	SUCCESSORS, a foreign corporation sole registered to do business in the State of) Not Subject to Mandatory Arbitration)
18	Oregon; THE BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, a congressionally chartered corporation,))
19	authorized to do business in Oregon; and CASCADE PACIFIC COUNCIL, BOY) \
20	SCOUTS OF AMERICA, an Oregon non-profit))
21	corporation,))
22	Defendants.	
23	Plaintiffs allege:	
	(Common All	egations)
24	1.	
25	Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 is an adult male born in	the year 1970, who at all times relevant to
26	this complaint was an unemancipated minor child v	1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Su Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-022
Page	1 COMPLAINT	FAX: (503) 306-0257

meetings, and events; received spiritual, service, and citizenship training and direction; or participated in the activities promoted or sponsored by Defendants or agents of the Defendants. Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 is an adult male born in the year 1973, who at all times relevant to this complaint was an unemancipated minor child who attended religious services, camping trips, meetings, and events; received spiritual, service, and citizenship training and direction; or participated in the activities promoted or sponsored by Defendants or agents of the Defendants. Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 is Plaintiff Jack Doe 2's brother and an adult male born in the year 1972, who at all times relevant to this complaint was an unemancipated minor child who attended religious services, camping trips, meetings, and events; received spiritual service and citizenship training and direction: or participated in the activities promoted or sponsored by Defendants or agents of the Defendants. Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 is an adult male born in the year 1972, who at all times relevant to this complaint was an unemancipated minor child who attended religious services, camping trips, meetings, and events; received spiritual, service, and citizenship training and direction; or participated in the activities promoted or sponsored by Defendants or agents of the Defendants. Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 is an adult male born in the year 1969, who at all times relevant to this complaint was an unemancipated minor child who attended religious services, camping trips, meetings, and events; received spiritual, service, and citizenship training and direction; or participated in the activities promoted or sponsored by Defendants or agents of the Defendants. Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 is an adult male born in the year 1969, who at all times relevant to this complaint was an unemancipated minor child who attended religious services, camping trips, meetings, and events; received spiritual, service, and citizenship training and direction; or participated in the activities promoted or sponsored by defendants or agents of the Defendants.

24

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.

At all times relevant to this complaint, the Corporation of the President of the

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and Successors, was a forei@R9@IEJougLARKI

corporation sole of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints operating in Oregon. At all times relevant to this complaint, the Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, was a foreign religious corporation sole of the LDS Church operating in Oregon. The Corporation of the President and Corporation of the Presiding Bishop will be referred to collectively hereinafter as "LDS Church." As part of the LDS Church's religious mission, it authorized and selected spiritual leaders, home teachers, and Sunday school teachers to educate and minister to LDS families, including their children, in the congregation known as the "Cherry Park Ward" (previously known as "10th Ward"). As part of their ministry, the leaders of the Cherry Park Ward—agents of Defendant LDS Church—selected spiritual leaders, home teachers, and Sunday school teachers to educate and minister to LDS families, including their children. All Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints Defendants will be referred to hereinafter collectively as "LDS Defendants."

3.

At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant Boy Scouts of America, was a congressionally chartered corporation authorized to do business in Oregon. At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendant Cascade Pacific Council, Boy Scouts of America was an Oregon non-profit corporation. These Defendants will be referred to hereinafter collectively as "Boy Scout Defendants." At all times relevant to this complaint, Defendants operated various programs for boys, including Plaintiffs in this case, and selected adults to serve as Scout Leaders. Boy Scout Defendants operated a Boy Scout troop in connection with and for the benefit of the Cherry Park Ward of the LDS Church. At all times relevant to this complaint, LDS Defendants were agents of the Boy Scout Defendants in assisting the Boy Scouts with achieving Boy Scout Defendants' goals and providing Boy Scout Defendants with meeting spaces, support, and promotion of scouting to LDS members, including Plaintiffs in this case.

4.

At all times relevant to this complaint, Timur Dykes, also known as "Timur Van Dykes" (hereinafter "Dykes") served as a spiritual leader, Home Teacher, Sunday school teacher, or (hereinafter collectively "Spiritual Leader") selected by LDS Defendants to educate and minister to LDS families and their children, including Plaintiffs in this case. At all times relevant to this complaint, Dykes also served as a scout leader, assistant, volunteer or in a similar capacity (hereinafter collectively "Scout Leader"), selected or accepted by Boy Scout Defendants to educate and train young boys, including Plaintiffs in this case, in morality, patriotism, and various life skills.

5.

Defendants empowered Dykes to perform all duties of a Spiritual Leader and a Scout Leader including educational and tutorial services, counseling, spiritual and moral guidance, religious instruction, and other duties. Defendants knew that as part of his duties as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader, Dykes would be in a position of trust and confidence with LDS members and scouts, including the Plaintiffs in this case.

6.

While working in the Cherry Park Ward, and for the purpose of furthering his assigned duties as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader, Dykes identified Plaintiffs' families as ones with adolescent or teenage boys; befriended Plaintiffs and their families; gained the families' trust and confidence as an educational and spiritual guide, and as a valuable and trustworthy mentor to Plaintiffs; gained the permission, acquiescence, and support of Plaintiffs' families to spend substantial periods of time alone with Plaintiffs; and sought and gained the instruction of Plaintiffs' parents to Plaintiffs that they were to have respect for Dykes' authority and to comply with Dykes' instruction and requests.

For the purpose of furthering his duties as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader, Dykes also sought and gained the friendship, admiration and obedience of Plaintiffs. As a result, Plaintiffs were conditioned to trust Dykes, to comply with Dykes' direction, and Parallel Dykes'

7.

	as a person of authority in spiritual, moral, and etinear matters. The above course of conduct
1	described in this paragraph and in paragraphs 5 and 6, above, is hereinafter collectively referred
2	to as "Grooming."
3	
4	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 Against All Defendants
5	(Sexual Abuse of a Child/Respondeat Superior)
6	8.
7	Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7,
8	above.
9	9.
0	Dykes, while acting within the course and scope of his employment and agency, and
1	using the authority and position of trust as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader for the
2	Defendants—through the Grooming process—induced and directed Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 to
3	engage in various sexual acts with Dykes. These acts constituted a harmful or offensive touching
4	of Plaintiff Jack Doe 1.
5	10.
6	Specifically, Dykes sexually abused and molested Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 in 1983 through
7	approximately 1984, including instances of fondling inside clothing, digital penetration, as well
8	as instances of oral sex, all while Dykes was serving the Defendants.
9	11.
20	Dykes used the Grooming process to accomplish his acts of sexual molestation of
21	Plaintiff Jack Doe 1. Dykes' Grooming was (1) committed in direct connection and for the
22	purposes of fulfilling Dykes' employment and agency with Defendants; (2) committed within the
23	time and space limits of his agency as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (3) done initially and at
24	least in part from a desire to serve the interests of Defendants; (4) done directly in the
25	performance of his duties as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (5) consisted generally of actions
26	of a kind and nature which Dykes was required to perform as a Spiritual Leader and Scott & CLARK 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, S Portland, Oregon 9720

	Leader, and (6) was done at the direction of, and pursuant to, the power vested in finn by the
1	Defendants.
2	12.
3	As a result of Dykes' sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and
4	position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 1, Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 has suffered
5	and continues to suffer severe and debilitating physical, mental, and emotional injury, including
6	pain and suffering, physical and emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to
7	his non-economic damages in the amount of \$4,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be
8	proven at the time of trial.
9	13.
10	As an additional result and consequence of Dykes' sexual abuse, molestation, and breach
11	of authority, trust, and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 1, Plaintiff Jack
12	Doe 1 has incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling, psychiatric and
13	psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in the approximate amount of
14	\$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
15	1.
16	In 2007, Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 discovered the causal connection between his abuse set forth
17	in paragraph 9 and 10 above, and the injuries suffered in paragraphs 12 and 13 above. Prior to
18	2007, Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 did not discover, and could not reasonably have discovered, the causal
19	connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.
20	
21	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Jack Doe 1 Against All Defendants
22	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress/Respondeat Superior)
23	14.
24	Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 14, above.
25	
26	15. O'DONNELL & CLARK

	Dykes, while engaging in the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7
1	above, knowingly and intentionally caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 when
2	he sexually battered and abused Plaintiff. Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 did in fact suffer severe emotional
3	distress as a result of this abuse, and the sexual abuse of a child is beyond the bounds of all
4	socially tolerable conduct.
5	16.
6	Dykes used the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7 above, to
7	intentionally inflict severe emotional distress through the abuse of Plaintiff Jack Doe 1. Dykes'
8	Grooming was committed within the course and scope of his agency as described in paragraph
9	11, above.
10	17.
11	As a result of Dykes' intentional infliction of emotional distress on Plaintiff Jack Doe 1
12	and Dykes' breach of trust and position as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to the Plaintiff,
13	Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 has suffered permanent and lasting damages as detailed above in paragraphs
14	12 and 13, above.
15	
16	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 Against All Defendants
17	(Sexual Abuse of a Child/Respondeat Superior)
18	18.
19	Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7,
20	above.
21	19.
22	Dykes, while acting within the course and scope of his employment and agency, and
23	using the authority and position of trust as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader for the
24	Defendants—through the Grooming process—induced and directed Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 to
25	engage in various sexual acts with Dykes. These acts constituted a harmful or offensive touching
26	of Plaintiff Jack Doe 2. O'DONNELL & CLARK

Specifically, Dykes sexually abused and molested Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 for two years from 1983 through approximately 1985, including instances of fondling and mutual masturbation, as well as instances of oral sex, all while Dykes was serving the Defendants.

21.

Dykes's Grooming process to accomplish his acts of sexual molestation of the Plaintiff. Dykes's Grooming was (1) committed in direct connection and for the purposes of fulfilling Dykes's employment and agency with the Defendants; (2) committed within the time and space limits of his agency as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (3) done initially and at least in part from a desire to serve the interests of Defendants; (4) done directly in the performance of his duties as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (5) consisted generally of actions of a kind and nature which Dykes was required to perform as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; and (6) was done at the direction of, and pursuant to, the power vested in him by the Defendants.

As a result of Dykes's sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 2, Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 has suffered and continues to suffer severe debilitating physical, mental, and emotional injury, including pain and suffering, physical and emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his non-economic damages in the amount of \$4,000,000.00.

23.

22.

As an additional result and consequence of Dykes's sexual abuse, molestation, breach of authority, trust and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 2, Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 has incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling, psychiatric and psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in the approximate amount of \$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.

In 2005, Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 discovered the causal connection between MS abuse section in

2.

	in paragraph 20 and 21 above, and the injuries suffered in paragraphs 23 and 24 above. Thor to
1	2005, Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 did not discover, and could not reasonably have discovered, the causal
2	connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.
3	
4	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 Against All Defendants
5	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress/Respondeat Superior)
6	24.
7	Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7 and
8	19 through 25, above.
9	25.
10	Dykes, while engaging in the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7
11	above, knowingly and intentionally caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 when
12	he sexually battered and abused Plaintiff. Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 did in fact suffer severe emotional
13	distress as a result of this abuse, and the sexual abuse of a child is beyond the bounds of all
14	socially tolerable conduct.
15	26.
16	Dykes used the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7 above, to
17	intentionally inflict severe emotional distress through the abuse of Plaintiff Jack Doe 2. Dykes'
18	Grooming was committed within the course and scope of his agency as described in paragraph
19	22, above.
20	27.
21	As a result of Dykes' intentional infliction of emotional distress on Plaintiff Jack Doe 2
22	and Dykes' breach of trust and position as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to the Plaintiff,
23	Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 has suffered permanent and lasting damages as detailed in paragraphs 23 and
24	24, above.
25	
26	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 Against All Defendants O'DONNELL & CLARK
	(Sexual Abuse of a Child/Respondeat Superior) (Sexual Abuse of a Child/Respondeat Superior)

28. 1 Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7, 2 above. 3 29. Dykes, while acting within the course and scope of his employment and agency, and 5 using the authority and position of trust as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader for the 6 Defendants—through the Grooming process—induced and directed Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 to 7 engage in various sexual acts with Dykes. These acts constituted a harmful or offensive touching 8 of Plaintiff Jack Doe 3. 9 30. 10 Specifically, Dykes sexually abused and molested Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 in 1983 or 1984 11 by engaging in fondling and mutual masturbation, all while Dykes was serving the Defendants. 12 31. 13 Dykes used the Grooming process to accomplish his acts of sexual molestation of the 14 Plaintiff. Dykes's Grooming was (1) committed in direct connection and for the purposes of 15 fulfilling Dykes's employment and agency with the Defendants; (2) committed within the time 16 and space limits of his agency as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (3) done initially and at least 17 in part from a desire to serve the interests of Defendants; (4) done directly in the performance of 18 his duties as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (5) consisted generally of actions of a kind and 19 nature which Dykes was required to perform as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; and (6) was 20 done at the direction of, and pursuant to, the power vested in him by the Defendants. 21 32. 22 As a result of Dykes's sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust and 23 position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 3, Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 has suffered 24 and continues to suffer severe debilitating physical, mental, and emotional injury, including pain 25 and suffering, physical and emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his 26 O'DONNELL & CLARK I non-economic damages in the amount of \$4,000,000.00. 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Su Portland, Oregon 97209 elephone: (503) 306-022

FAX: (503) 306-0257

1	As an additional result and consequence of Dykes's sexual abuse, molestation, breach of
2	authority, trust and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 3, Plaintiff Jack
3	Doe 3 has incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling, psychiatric and
4	psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in the approximate
5	amount of \$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
6	3.
7	In 2006, Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 discovered the causal connection between his
8	abuse set forth in paragraph 31 and 32 above, and the injuries suffered in paragraphs
9	34 and 35 above. Prior to 2006, Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 did not discover, and could not
10	reasonably have discovered, the causal connection between the abuse and the
11	
12	damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.
13 14	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 Against All Defendants (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress/Respondeat Superior)
15	34.
16	Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7 and
17	30 through 36, above.
18	35.
19	Dykes, while engaging in the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7
20	above, knowingly and intentionally caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 when
21	he sexually battered and abused Plaintiff. Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 did in fact suffer severe emotional
22	distress as a result of this abuse, and the sexual abuse of a child is beyond the bounds of all
23	socially tolerable conduct.
24	36.
25	Dykes used the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7 above, to
26	intentionally inflict severe emotional distress through the abuse of Plaintiff Jack Poengel Dyklesek

	Grooming was committed within the course and scope of his agency as described in paragraph
1	33, above.
2	37.
3	As a result of Dykes' intentional infliction of emotional distress on Plaintiff Jack Doe 3
4	and Dykes' breach of trust and position as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to the Plaintiff,
5	Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 has suffered permanent and lasting damages as detailed above in paragraphs
6	34 and 35, above.
7	
8	SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 Against All Defendants (Sexual Abuse of a Child/Respondeat Superior)
10	(Sexual Flouse of a Childresponded Superior)
	38.
11	Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7,
12	above.
13	39.
14	Dykes, while acting within the course and scope of his employment and agency, and
15	using the authority and position of trust as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader for the
16	Defendants—through the Grooming process—induced and directed Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 to
17	engage in various sexual acts with Dykes. These acts constituted a harmful or offensive touching
18	of Plaintiff Jack Doe 4.
19	1111
20	40.
21	Specifically, Dykes sexually abused and molested Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 in 1983 or 1984 by
22	engaging in touching, fondling outside clothing, and attempted fondling inside clothing, all while
23	Dykes was serving the Defendants.
24	41.
25	Dykes used the Grooming process to accomplish his acts of sexual molestation of the
26	Plaintiff Jack Doe 4. Dykes' Grooming was (1) committed in direct connection and North the CLARK 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Su Portland, Organ 97209

purposes of fulfilling Dykes' employment and agency with the Defendants; (2) committed within the time and space limits of his agency as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (3) done initially and at least in part from a desire to serve the interests of Defendants; (4) done directly in the performance of his duties as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (5) consisted generally of actions of a kind and nature which Dykes was required to perform as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; and (6) was done at the direction of, and pursuant to, the power vested in him by the Defendants.

As a result of Dykes' sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 4, Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 has suffered and continues to suffer severe and debilitating physical, mental, and emotional injury, including pain and suffering, physical and emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his non-economic damages in the amount of \$4,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.

42.

43.

As an additional result and consequence of Dykes' sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 4, Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 has incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling, psychiatric and psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in the approximate amount of \$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.

In 2007, Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 discovered the causal connection between his abuse set forth in paragraph 42 and 43 above, and the injuries suffered in paragraphs 45 and 46 above. Prior to 2007, Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 did not discover, and could not reasonably have discovered, the causal connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEFBy Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 Against All Defendants

O'DONNELL & CLARK I

	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress/Respondeat Superior)
1	44.
2	Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7 and
3	41 through 47, above.
4	45.
5	Dykes, while engaging in the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7,
6	above, knowingly and intentionally caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 when
7	he sexually battered and abused Plaintiff. Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 did in fact suffer severe emotional
8	distress as a result of this abuse, and the sexual abuse of a child is beyond the bounds of all
9	socially tolerable conduct.
10	46.
11	Dykes used the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7, above, to
12	intentionally inflict severe emotional distress through the abuse of Plaintiff Jack Doe 4. Dykes'
13	Grooming was committed within the course and scope of his agency as described in paragraph
14	44, above.
15	47.
16	As a result of Dykes' intentional infliction of emotional distress on Plaintiff Jack Doe 4
17	and Dykes' breach of trust and position as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to the Plaintiff,
18	Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 has suffered permanent and lasting damages as detailed above in paragraphs
19	45 and 46, above.
20	
21	NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 Against All Defendants
22	(Sexual Abuse of a Child/Respondeat Superior)
23	48.
24	Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7,
25	above.
26	49. O'DONNELL & CLARK

Dykes, while acting within the course and scope of his employment and agency, and using the authority and position of trust as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader for the Defendants—through the Grooming process—induced and directed Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 to engage in various sexual acts with Dykes. These acts constituted a harmful or offensive touching of Plaintiff Jack Doe 5.

Specifically, Dykes sexually abused and molested Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 during the years 1980 through approximately 1983, all while Dykes was serving the Defendants. Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 has memory of Dykes' abuse, but has no recollection of the specific, discrete instances of abuse committed by Dykes due to psychological coping mechanisms.

51.

Dykes used the Grooming process to accomplish his acts of sexual molestation of the Plaintiff Jack Doe 5. Dykes' Grooming was (1) committed in direct connection and for the purposes of fulfilling Dykes' employment and agency with the Defendants; (2) committed within the time and space limits of his agency as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (3) done initially and at least in part from a desire to serve the interests of Defendants; (4) done directly in the performance of his duties as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (5) consisted generally of actions of a kind and nature which Dykes was required to perform as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; and (6) was done at the direction of, and pursuant to, the power vested in him by the Defendants.

52.

As a result of Dykes' sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 5, Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 has suffered and continues to suffer severe debilitating physical, mental, and emotional injury, including pain and suffering, physical and emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his non-economic damages in the amount of \$4,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.

As an additional result and consequence of Dykes' sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 5, Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 has incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling, psychiatric and psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in the approximate amount of \$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial. In 2007, Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 discovered the causal connection between his abuse set forth in paragraph 53 and 54 above, and the injuries suffered in paragraphs 56 and 57 above. Prior to 2007, Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 did not discover, and could not reasonably have discovered, the causal connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEFBy Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 Against All Defendants

1	
2	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress/Respondeat Superior)
3	54.
	Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7 and
4	52 through 58, above.
5	55.
6	Dykes, while engaging in the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7,
7	above, knowingly and intentionally caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 when
8	he sexually battered and abused Plaintiff. Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 did in fact suffer severe emotional
9	distress as a result of this abuse, and the sexual abuse of a child is beyond the bounds of all
10	socially tolerable conduct.
11	56.
12	Dykes used the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7, above, to
13	intentionally inflict severe emotional distress through the abuse of Plaintiff Jack Doe 5. Dykes'
14	Grooming was committed within the course and scope of his agency as described in paragraph
15	55, above.
16	57.
17	As a result of Dykes' intentional infliction of emotional distress on Plaintiff Jack Doe 5
18	
19	and Dykes' breach of trust and position as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to the Plaintiff,
20	Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 has suffered permanent and lasting damages as detailed above in paragraphs
21	56 and 57, above.
	ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF
22	By Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 Against All Defendants (Sexual Abuse of a Child/Respondeat Superior)
23	(Sexual Abuse of a Child Responded Superior)
24	58.
25	Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7,
26	above. O'DONNELL & CLARK
	1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Su Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-02

Dykes, while acting within the course and scope of his employment and agency, and using the authority and position of trust as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader for the Defendants—through the Grooming process—induced and directed Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 to engage in various sexual acts with Dykes. These acts constituted a harmful or offensive touching of Plaintiff Jack Doe 6.

60.

Specifically, Dykes sexually abused and molested Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 in approximately 1981 or 1982 by engaging in touching, fondling inside clothing, and attempted penetration, all while Dykes was serving the Defendants.

61.

Dykes used the Grooming process to accomplish his acts of sexual molestation of the

Dykes used the Grooming process to accomplish his acts of sexual molestation of the Plaintiff Jack Doe 6. Dykes' Grooming was (1) committed in direct connection and for the purposes of fulfilling Dykes' employment and agency with the Defendants; (2) committed within the time and space limits of his agency as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (3) done initially and at least in part from a desire to serve the interests of Defendants; (4) done directly in the performance of his duties as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; (5) consisted generally of actions of a kind and nature which Dykes was required to perform as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader; and (6) was done at the direction of, and pursuant to, the power vested in him by the Defendants.

As a result of Dykes' sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 6, Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 has suffered and continues to suffer severe and debilitating physical, mental, and emotional injury, including pain and suffering, physical and emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage, all to his non-economic damages in the amount of \$4,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.

62.

1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, Su Portland, Oregon 97209 Telephone: (503) 306-022 FAX: (503) 306-0257

1	As an additional result and consequence of Dykes' sexual abuse, molestation, and breach
2	of authority, trust, and position as Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to Jack Doe 6, Plaintiff Jack
3	Doe 6 has incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling, psychiatric and
4	psychological medical treatment all to his economic damages in the approximate amount of
5	\$250,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
6	
7	6.
8	In 2007, Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 discovered the causal connection between his abuse set forth
9	in paragraph 64 and 65 above, and the injuries suffered in paragraphs 67 and 68 above. Prior to
10	2007, Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 did not discover, and could not reasonably have discovered, the causal
	connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.
11	
12	TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 Against All Defendants
13	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress/Respondeat Superior)
14	64.
15	Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 7 and
16	63 through 69, above.
17	65.
18	Dykes, while engaging in the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7,
19	above, knowingly and intentionally caused severe emotional distress to Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 when
20	he sexually battered and abused Plaintiff. Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 did in fact suffer severe emotional
21	distress as a result of this abuse, and the sexual abuse of a child is beyond the bounds of all
22	
23	socially tolerable conduct.
24	
	66.
25	Dykes used the Grooming process described in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7, above, to
26	intentionally inflict severe emotional distress through the abuse of Plaintiff Jack Poe Dykes N.W. Naito Parkway, S

	Grooming was committed whim the course and scope of his agency as described in paragraph
1	66, above.
2	67.
3	As a result of Dykes' intentional infliction of emotional distress on Plaintiff Jack Doe 6
4	and Dykes' breach of trust and position as a Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader to the Plaintiff,
5	Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 has suffered permanent and lasting damages as detailed above in paragraphs
6	67 and 68, above.
7	
8	THIRTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants (Negligence re-Dykes)
	(rogilgonos io Dynos)
10	68.
11	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 73, above.
12	69.
13	Defendants created a special relationship with Plaintiffs by inviting and encouraging them
14	to participate in religious and other activities within the LDS Church and Boy Scouts and with
15	their Spiritual Leader and Scout Leader. This special relationship created a duty of care on the
16	part of Defendants to ensure Plaintiffs' safety while participating in Defendants' activities
17	70.
18	During the time all Plaintiffs were sexually abused by Dykes, LDS Defendants had
19	knowledge or should have known of Dykes' dangerousness to children. In or about 1981 or
20	1982, LDS Defendants discovered that Dykes had sexually abused a minor child who was a LDS
21	member and scout, and LDS Defendants conducted their own investigation into the allegations.
22	1111
23	71.
24	It was foreseeable at the time to Defendants—and they knew or should have known—that
25	pedophiles or pedeophilic predators most often engage in serial abuse of minors under their care,
26	and that where a sexual predator is discovered, there are certainly additional victing NNEt Have ARK I

either not been discovered or who remain silent about the abuse.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

72.

Defendants' retention of Dykes created a foreseeable risk of harm to the safety of children in the care of the Defendants. Defendants' failure to supervise Dykes and prevent or control his isolation and domination of younger children created a foreseeable risk of harm to the safety of children in the care of Defendants and Defendants therefore knowingly allowed and permitted or encouraged child abuse. Plaintiffs' interest in being free from sexual molestation is an interest of a kind that the law protects against negligent invasion. Defendants' retention of Dykes and failure to supervise Dykes was unreasonable in light of the risk posed to young children by unsupervised instructors, and Defendants' retention of Dykes and failure to supervise Dykes was a cause of the molestation suffered by Plaintiffs, as alleged in paragraphs 9, 10, 20, 21, 31, 32, 42, 43, 53, 54, 64, and 65, above.

12

73.

13

14

Defendants unreasonably created a foreseeable risk to the protected interest of Plaintiffs—were negligent—in at least one of the following particulars:

15

16

17

 In failing to thoroughly investigate the possibility of abuse to the Plaintiffs and scouts who had significant contact with Dykes, upon learning of Dykes' prior abuse of a LDS member and scout;

18

b. In failing to report the abuse to law enforcement;

19

c. In failing to provide or pursue mental health services to Plaintiffs;

20

In failing to thoroughly interrogate Dykes to determine the existence of other victims; and

2122

e. In failing to remove Dykes from contact with children.

23

74.

2425

As a direct and foreseeable consequence of Defendants' negligence Plaintiffs have suffered damages as described in paragraphs 12, 13, 23, 24, 34, 35, 45, 46, 56, 57, 67, and 68,

above.

O'DONNELL & CLARK I

d.

1	FOURTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By All Plaintiffs Against LDS Defendants
2	(Negligence Institution-Wide)
3	75.
4	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 80 above.
5	76.
6	From at least the 1960s if not earlier, LDS Defendants knew that LDS callings and
7	assignments were being used by pedophiles to victimize children and that LDS Defendants had
8	an institution-wide child abuse problem. Despite this knowledge, LDS Defendants did not
9	implement adequate child sex abuse policies.
10	77.
11	This institutional failure by LDS Defendants was a substantial contributing factor to the
12	abuse of Plaintiffs. LDS Defendants' failure to implement adequate child abuse policies created
13	a foreseeable risk of harm to the safety of children in the care of the LDS Defendants, including
14	these plaintiffs.
15	78.
16	As a direct and foreseeable consequence of LDS Defendants' negligence as described
17	above, Plaintiffs have suffered damages as described in paragraphs 12, 13, 23, 24, 34, 35, 45, 46,
18	56, 57, 67, and 68, above.
19	1111
20	////
21	79.
22	Pursuant to ORS § 31.725, Plaintiffs hereby give notice to Defendants of their
23	intent to move for punitive damages against the Defendants at any time after the filing
24	of this complaint.
25	
26	FIFTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF By All Plaintiffs Against Boy Scouts of America O'DONNELL & CLARK 1650 N.W. Naito Parkway, S Partland Organ 07/20

Page 22 COMPLAINT

and Cascade Pacific Council Defendants (Negligence Institution-Wide)

1	9.6
2	86.
3	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 80 above.
4	87.
5	From at least the 1960s if not earlier, Boys Scouts and Cascade Pacific Counsel
6	Defendants knew that assignments were being used by pedophiles to victimize children and that
7	Boys Scouts and Cascade Pacific Counsel Defendants had an institution-wide child abuse
8	problem. Despite this knowledge, Boy Scouts and Cascade Pacific Counsel Defendants did not
9	implement adequate child sex abuse policies.
10	88.
11	This institutional failure by the Boys Scouts and Cascade Pacific Counsel
12	Defendants was a substantial contributing factor to the abuse of Plaintiffs. Boys Scouts
13	and Cascade Pacific Counsel Defendants' failure to implement adequate child abuse policies
14	created a foreseeable risk of harm to the safety of children in the care of the Boys Scouts and
15	Cascade Pacific Counsel Defendants, including these plaintiffs.
16	89.
17	As a direct and foreseeable consequence of Boys Scouts and Cascade Pacific Counsel
18	Defendants' negligence as described above, Plaintiffs have suffered damages as
19	described in paragraphs 12, 13, 23, 24, 34, 35, 45, 46, 56, 57, 67, and 68, above.
20	<i>1111</i> .
21	90.
22	Pursuant to ORS § 31.725, Plaintiffs hereby give notice to Defendants of their intent to
23	move for punitive damages against the Defendants at any time after the filing of this complaint.
24	
25	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as
26	follows:
	1. Non-economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 in the amount of My000000000000000000000000000000000000
age 2	Telephoné: (503) 306-022 FAX: (503) 306-0257

	the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
1	2. Economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 1 in the amount of \$250,000.00, the	he
2	exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
3	3. Non-economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 in the amount of \$4,000,00	0.00,
4	the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
5	4. Economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 2 in the amount of \$250,000.00, t	he
6	exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
7	5. Non-economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 in the amount of \$4,000,00	0.00,
8	the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
9	6. Economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 3 in the amount of \$250,000.00, t	he
10	exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
11	7. Non-economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 in the amount of \$4,000,00	0.00,
12	the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
13	8. Economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 4 in the amount of \$250,000.00, to	:he
14	exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
15	9. Non-economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 in the amount of \$4,000,00	0.00,
16	the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
17	10. Economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 5 in the amount of \$250,000.00,	the
18	exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
19	Non-economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 in the amount of \$4,000,00	00.00,
20	the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
21	12. Economic damages for Plaintiff Jack Doe 6 in the amount of \$250,000.00,	the
22	exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;	
23	13. For Plaintiffs' costs and disbursements incurred; and	
24	14. For any other relief this Court deems just and equitable.	
25	DATED this day of October, 2007.	
26	O'DONNELL & CLARK LLP	& CLARK

Kelly Clark, OSB #83172 Kristian Roggendorf, OSB #01399 Of Attorneys for Plaintiffs

O'DONNELL & CLARK I

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on October 9, 2007, I served a true copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION** on the attorneys listed below by the method indicated on said day.

Kelly Clark 1650 NW Naito Parkway, Ste. 302 Portland, OR 97209

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Scott J. Meyer Mitchell Lang & Smith 101 SW Main St., Ste. 2000 Portland, OR 97204 HAND DELIVERED

HAND DELIVERED

Attorneys for Defendant The Boy Scouts of America

Paul R. Xochihua Nicole M. Rhoades Davis Rothwell Earle & Xochihua PC 1300 SW 5th Ave., Ste. 1900 Portland, OR 97201 HAND DELIVERED

Attorneys for Defendant Cascade Pacific Council, Boy Scouts of America

Scott Brooksby

Attorneys for Defendants Corporation of the Presiding Bishop of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and Corporation of the President of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints and Successors